

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/807,402	08/03/2001	Peter Hofert	SCH 1808	9208
23599 7	7590 09/01/2005		EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			MAIER, LEIGH C	
2200 CLAREN	IDON BLVD.		·	
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201		1623	

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/807,402	HOFERT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Leigh C. Maier	1623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)	hdrawn from consideration. are rejected.	·			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 09/807,402

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

Claims 5, 15, and 23 have been amended. Claims 2-5, 7, 8, 10-16, and 18-23 are pending. Claims 8 and 12 were previously withdrawn pursuant to a restriction requirement. Claim 21 depends from claim 12 and is likewise withdrawn. Any rejection or objection or rejection not expressly repeated has been withdrawn. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 2-5, 7, 10, 11, 13-16, 18-20, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SCHOLLKOPF et al (WO 96/20209) and BACKENSFELD et al (US 5,798,338) in view of HEDGES (Chem. Rev., 1998).

The invention is as set forth in the previous Office action.

Applicant's arguments filed June 20, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the table presented in the response demonstrates unexpected results. The response further notes that these data are to be presented in a supplementary declaration. Said declaration has not yet been submitted (see interview summary), but the data submitted have been reviewed: It is the opinion of the examiner that even if the present data were to be presented in a formal declaration they would not overcome the obviousness rejection. In Backensfeld's discussion of oxidative degradation, it is in the context of low-dosed dosage forms. See col 1, lines 16-20. By definition, this would involve the presence of an excipient. It

Application/Control Number: 09/807,402

Art Unit: 1623

could very well be that it is the presence of the excipient(s) is the catalyst for oxidative degradation. For the data in the first table to be meaningful, one would need to see a comparison of 17 α -ethinylestradiol (Backensfeld's preferred embodiment and closest art) under the same conditions (without cyclodextrin or excipient). If this compound is shown to undergo significant degradation under the same conditions, in the absence of an excipient, then the examiner would agree with Applicant's contention that one of ordinary skill would not be motivated to prepare the instant cyclodextrin complexes.

The rejection is maintained for reasons of record.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/807,402

Art Unit: 1623

Examiner's hours, phone & fax numbers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh Maier whose telephone number is (571) 272-0656. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Wednesday, and Friday 7:00 to 3:30 (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. James O. Wilson (571) 272-0661, may be contacted. The fax number for Group 1600, Art Unit 1623 is (703) 872-9306.

Visit the U.S. PTO's site on the World Wide Web at http://www.uspto.gov. This site contains lots of valuable information including the latest PTO fees, downloadable forms, basic search capabilities and much more. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished application is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

Leigh C. Maier Primary Examiner August 30, 2005